

March 5, 2008

UPPER MISSOURI RIVER BREAKS NATIONAL MONUMENT RESOURCE MANAGEMENT PLAN

PURPOSE OF THE BRIEFING DOCUMENT:

In January 2008, the Lewistown Field Office distributed the Proposed Resource Management Plan and Final Environmental Impact Statement for the Upper Missouri River Breaks National Monument to a wide list of interested organizations and individuals. The protest period for the proposed RPM ended March 4, 2008.

ISSUES:

On January 17, 2001, the president designated the Upper Missouri River Breaks National Monument with a presidential proclamation. The proclamation recognizes the spectacular array of biological, geological and historical resources found on the approximately 375,000 acres of public land in this monument. The BLM is preparing a management plan to establish guidance, objectives and management actions for these lands and resources.

After extensive public involvement through scoping and alternative formulation, the Draft RMP/EIS was distributed to the public near the end of October 2005. The deadline for public comment was April 26, 2006.

The Lewistown Field Office received 67,454 letters/emails on the draft RMP/EIS, including 1,825 non-form letters, 17 different form-type letters, and one form-type questionnaire. These letters and emails contained about 7,600 specific comments. They covered every aspect of the draft RMP/EIS, but the most common topics included road and travel management (motorized vs. non-motorized), landing strips, economics, private property, lifestyles, oil and gas, and recreation.

Agriculture groups and individuals along the river or in the uplands are concerned that monument management will impact their ability to use their private land and/or their BLM grazing permits within the monument. They are also concerned about the federal water reservations in Arrow Creek and the Judith River, and they want to retain the opportunity to use motorized watercraft on the river. The Montana Pilots Association supports the continued use of the landing strips in the monument. Wilderness advocates prefer fewer roads, no airstrips, no development on existing natural gas leases, and reduced motorized opportunities on the river. Those favoring motorized recreation are looking for extended opportunities for personal watercraft, seaplanes and other motorized watercraft. American Indian tribes are concerned about protecting cultural sites within the monument.

MAIN DECISION OR MESSAGE:

Public participation was a vital element during the preparation of the proposed RMP, and will continue to play an important role after the record of decision is complete and the approved plan is implemented.

BUREAU PERSPECTIVE:

At the end of the protest period, the BLM will prepare the record of decision and approved plan. Approval will be withheld on any portion(s) of the proposed RMP that is under protest until a final action has been completed on such protest(s).

CONTACT:

Gary E. Slagel, monument manager, 406-538-1950